IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.37468 of 2008
NAGENDRA RAI
Versus
THE STATE OF BIHAR

3/ 12/11/2008. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel for the State.

The petitioner apprehends arrest in a police case under Sections- 25(1-B) A/26 of the Arms Act.

The submission is of false implication as earlier in Charpokhari P.S. Case No.121/2007 the informant, who is a police officer, was demanding illegal gratification which the petitioner refused to pay since the matter had been compromised. It is emphasized that the seizure list is a fabricated document inasmuch as it contains the number of the police case also simultaneously and that it bears no signature of any witnesses in the house even though witnesses are stated to have been present at the time of the alleged recovery.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner above named surrender before the court below within four weeks when he shall be enlarged on anticipatory bail upon furnishing the bail-bonds of Rs.10,000/- (ten

thousand) along with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bhojpur at Ara in Charpokhari P.S. Case No.66/2008, subject to the conditions laid down under Section-438(2) Cr.P.C.

KC **(Navin Sinha, J.)**

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL